

From: \_\_\_\_\_  
To: <tbehr@utah.gov>  
Date: 3/23/2009 6:21 PM  
Subject: DOCKET NO. 09-057-T04

UTAH PUBLIC  
SERVICE COMMISSION

2009 MAR 24 A 8:21

To Whom It May Concern:

RECEIVED

I feel that the following items regarding this request are unreasonable:

1. The time frame.

a. I feel that they are doing all of us an injustice by trying to complete this change in a 3 week period. There are over 70 companies that his change is going to greatly impact and I can only guess how many jobs are contained there-in. 3000?

2. The previous promises which were made regarding the timeline.

I think that Sunroc made a very good point about the amount of foresight and planning he had put into his company based on promises made by Questar that there would be no major changes in the plan through 2010. There are 70 of us smaller contractors, that aren't doing 1/3 of the work, yet to all of us, in our own degrees, it's having the same impact on each of us as it's having on Sunroc. We will be left with far too many materials, tools, flyers, etc. if this ends right now rather than in December.

3. This was previously a working, winning program.

a. Homeowner's won (I don't care what anybody says, nobody was guaged or taken advantage of if Home Depot charges \$.99 for the same product.)

b. The ENVIRONMENT won. We are reducing our own greenhouse emmissions by better insulating our homes.

c. Contractors won. There was a profitable business in which we could hire people and pay them for a real service, jobs completed weren't fake jobs, rather real jobs.

d. Until right now, as I understood it, Utility companies won, conserving energy is easier than creating energy.

e. Utah as a state wins. We come closer to what the Department of Energy suggests we be at regarding insulation.

I think that the commission would be wise to take the time which they normally do take to do due diligence regarding the request of the utility company and look at what is being done. This is a truly remarkable program. The bottom line is that it works. It is hurting Questars pocketbook because it works, but it works. I think it would be wise to look at ways to continue to do this and perhaps alternate sources of funding, but the program in and of itself works and is good.

If particular parts of the program aren't working, lets adjust them or modify them, but to cut the rebate by nearly 50% with a 3 week heads up is unethical to contractors who were promised otherwise. It's also going to need a variance from State Law. I think that the commission should look very harshly upon the time frame of this request.

There is snow on the ground today, March 23, 2009 and I think that there are many years that is colder than it is warmer here for more days in the year. I don't know where to look that up at, but insulation is a good thing. Business is a good thing (especially given the current economy). Work is a good thing. Wages and profit are a good thing. Something tangible, like insulation, where we'll see a difference and can look at the completion of a job and state how good it looks is a good thing.

Perhaps we should wait until the snow melts, complete sophisticated due diligence, think and meditate on the outcome of what we choose to do here is, and take time making a decision on such an important matter.

Regards.

A person of the people, by the people, and for the people.

100